| li | | | | | | |
|-----|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | KAMALA D. HARRIS | | | | | |
| 2 | Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER | | | | | |
| . 3 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General G. MICHAEL GERMAN | | | | | |
| 4 | Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 103312 | | | | | |
| | 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 | | | | | |
| 5 | San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 | | | | | |
| 6 | San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2617 | | | | | |
| 7 | Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 | | | | | |
| 8 - | Attorneys for Complainant BEFORE THE | | | | | |
| 9 | CALIFORNIA BOARD OF,OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS | | | | | |
| 10 | STATE OF CALIFORNIA | | | | | |
| 11 | In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke Case No. OA 2004-124S | | | | | |
| 12 | Probation Against, | | | | | |
| | CORINNE JALEH COOK PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION | | | | | |
| 13 | P.O. Box 954 Carlsbad, CA 92008 | | | | | |
| 14 | Occupational Therapy Assistant License No. | | | | | |
| 15 | OTA 1348 One. | | | | | |
| 16 | One. | | | | | |
| 17 | Complainant alleges: | | | | | |
| 18 | <u>PARTIES</u> | | | | | |
| 19 | 1. Complainant Heather Martin brings this Petition to Revoke Probation solely in her | | | | | |
| 20 | official capacity as the Executive Officer of the California Board of Occupational Therapy | | | | | |
| 21 | (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs. | | | | | |
| 22 | 2. On March 1, 2004, the Board issued Occupational Therapy Assistant License Number | | | | | |
| 23 | OTA 1348 to Respondent Corinne Jaleh Cook. The License was in effect at all times relevant to | | | | | |
| 24 | the charges brought herein and will expire on November 30, 2010, unless renewed. | | | | | |
| 25 | 3. In a disciplinary action entitled "In the Matter of Accusation Against Corinne Jaleh | | | | | |
| 26 | Cook," Case No. OA 2004-124, the Board issued a decision, effective July 24, 2009, in which | | | | | |
| 27 | Respondent's Occupational Therapy Assistant License was revoked. However, the revocation | | | | | |
| 28 | was stayed and Respondent's Occupational Therapy Assistant License was placed on probation | | | | | |
| | I Production | | | | | |

| 1 2 | Respondent's compliance with probation. Respondent, within ten (10) days of completion of the quarter, shall submit quarterly written reports to the Board on a Quarterly Report of Compliance form obtained from the Board. | | | | |
|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 3 | 9. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because she failed to comply with | | | | |
| 4 | Probation Condition 2 in that Respondent failed to return an authorization allowing an employer | | | | |
| 5 | to release employment records to the Board as requested in correspondence to Respondent dated | | | | |
| 6 | February 23, 2010. | | | | |
| 7 | SECOND CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION | | | | |
| 8 | (Failure to Comply with Notification Requirements) | | | | |
| 9 | 10. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 6 stated: | | | | |
| 10 11 | 6. Within 5-days of the effective date of the Decision subject is required to notify any current employer or prospective employer that her license was placed on probation. | | | | |
| 12 | 11. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because she failed to comply with | | | | |
| 13 | Probation Condition 6 in that Respondent failed to notify Rehab Alliance, within five days of th | | | | |
| 14 | effective date of the Decision, that her license had been placed on probation. | | | | |
| 15 | THIRD CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION | | | | |
| 16 | (Failure to Comply with Notification Requirements) | | | | |
| 17 | 12. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 6 stated: | | | | |
| 18 19 | 6. Subject is required to notify the Board, in writing, within 5-days, of any changes in her employment status. | | | | |
| 20 | 13. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because she failed to comply with | | | | |
| 21 | Probation Condition 6 in that Respondent failed to notify the Board, in writing, within five days | | | | |
| 22 | of the effective date of the Decision that she was employed by Rehab Alliance. | | | | |
| 23 | FOURTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION | | | | |
| 24 | (Failure to Comply with Supervision Requirements) | | | | |
| 25 | 14. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 8 stated: | | | | |
| 26 | 8. Supervision Requirements. Respondent shall obtain prior approval from the | | | | |
| 27 | Board, before commencing any employment, regarding the level of supervision provided to the respondent while employed as an occupational therapist or | | | | |
| 28 | occupational therapy assistant. | | | | |

Respondent shall not function as a supervisor during the period of probation except as approved, in writing, by the Board.

15. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because she failed to comply with Probation Condition 8 in that Respondent failed to secure prior approval from the Board regarding the level of supervision that would be provided to her at Rehabilitation Alliance, PHS Staffing, Titan Medical Group, and Interface Rehabilitation.

FIFTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Comply with Biological Fluid Testing Requirements)

- 16. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 16 stated:
- 16. Submit Biological Fluid Samples. Respondent shall immediately submit to biological fluid testing, at Respondent's cost, upon request by the Board or its designee. There will be no confidentiality in the test results; positive test results will be immediately reported to the Board and the respondent's current employer.
- 17. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because she failed to comply with Probation Condition 16 in that Respondent failed to submit to biological fluid testing as follows:

| DATE | | RESULT | | |
|---------------|----------|--------|--|--|
| July 9, 2010 | No show. | 4 | | |
| July 13, 2010 | No show. | | | |
| July 15, 2010 | No show. | | | |
| July 20, 2010 | No show. | | | |
| July 22, 2010 | No show. | | | |

18. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because she failed to comply with Probation Condition 16 in that Respondent failed to find and secure a drug testing facility to conduct random biological fluid testing in a timely manner. Board correspondence dated June 24, 2009, instructed Respondent to secure testing by July 24, 2009, the effective date of the probation. Respondent did not secure testing until February 15, 2010.